

Summary Post Mitigation Risk scores

Reference	Strategic Risk	Feb-22	Jun-22	Sep-22	Nov-22	Feb-23	May-23	Sep-23	Nov-23	Feb-24	Change from September
Employer											
E1	Contributions Funding Failure to collect contributions from employers in line with Funding strategy requirements and Rates and Adjustment Certificate	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	↔
E2	Employer data Employers fail to provide accurate and timely data to the PAT team	9	9	9	12	12	12	9	9	9	↔
E3	Employer Covenant Delay in employers agreeing Admission Agreement, risk of insufficient security	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	↑
Administration											
A1	Pensions service Delivery Inadequate delivery of Pensions Administration	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	↔
A2	Regulatory Change Risk that new benefit structures can not be set up correctly or in time	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	↔
A3	Production of Statutory member returns Risk of failure to produce ABS, annual allowance and event reports	6	6	10	10	10	10	6	6	6	↔
A5	Transfer Scams Failure to comply with CETV anti scam checks	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	↔
A7	MBOS Project Failure to deliver the new ERP system to effectively deliver for Pension Fund accounting and payroll requirements	3	3	3	3	3	3	6	6	6	↔
Governance											
G1	Key Person risk Risk of loss of key / senior staff resulting in lost knowledge and skills with in the Pensions Team	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	↑
G2	Committee / Board Member Lack of decision making caused by loss of Pension Committee/Pension Board members or insufficient knowledge and skills of members	6	6	6	9	9	9	9	6	6	↔
G3	Cyber Security Risk of Loss of data or systems breaches through cyber attacks	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	12	12	↔
G4	Governance and Compliance Inadequate governance arrangements and controls to discharge powers & duties	3	3	3	3	6	6	6	3	3	↔
G5	Data Breach Failure to comply with General Data Protection Regulations	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	↔
G6	Fraud Internal and External fraud risk	3	6	6	4	4	4	4	4	2	↓
Investment/Funding											
I1	Funding risk - poor investment returns Risk that investment strategy fails to result in performance required to meet the needs of the Funding strategy discount rate	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	↔
I3	Regulatory risk Failure to comply with regulations, legislation and guidance from an accounting and investment perspective	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	↔
I4	Investment Pooling Inability to comply with government direction on pooling, insufficient sub funds to implement investment strategy, poor management of the pool	6	6	6	6	12	12	12	9	9	↔
I5	Funding risk - higher inflation Risk of inflation leading to increased liabilities, lower asset returns and a funding gap	2	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	↔
I6	Environmental, Social and Governance Risk of ESG factors within Investment strategy, underlying holdings and implementations of investment decisions	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	↑
I7	Climate change Risk to assets and liabilities associated with Climate Change	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	↑
I8	Liquidity Insufficient cash to pay benefits as they fall due	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	↔
I9	Money Purchase Additional Voluntary Contributions Inadequate offering to Scheme Members							2	2	2	↔

Ref	Strategic risk	High risk					Low risk								
E1	Contribution Funding Risk						!					*			
E2	Employer data risk				!		*								
E3	Delay in Agreeing Admission Agreement							!	*						
A1	Pension Service Delivery						!		*						
A2	Regulatory change						!		*						
A3	Production of Statutory Member returns				!				*						
A5	Failure to comply with anti-scam checks								!				*		
A7	MBOS project				!		*								
G1	Key Person risk		!						*						
G2	Lack of decision making caused by loss of Pension Committee/ Pension Board member						!		*						
G3	Cyber security		!					*							
G4	Inadequate governance arrangements to discharge powers & duties						!						*		
G5	Failure to comply with General Data Protection Regulations						!					*			
G6	Fraud				!									*	
11	Funding risk due to poor investment returns						!					*			
13	Regulatory Risk						!							*	
14	Investment Pooling Risk		!				*								
15	High inflation				!				*						
16	Environmental, Social and Governance risks							!	*						
17	Climate change				!				*						
18	Insufficient cash to pay benefits as they fall due							!				*			
19	AVC											!		*	

! = Before Mitigation

* = Post Mitigation

Ref	Strategic Risks	Pre-mitigation on RAG	Risk Control / Response	Post-mitigation on RAG	Risk Owner
Employer Risk					
E1	Contributions Funding Failure to collect contributions from employers in line with Funding strategy requirements and Rates and Adjustment Certificate	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Monthly Employer contribution monitoring completed •Monitoring of late payments by Employer engagement team to address breaches for late payment. Chasers are sent out during the lead up to the deadline to prompt employers providing information and payment on time •Contributions recorded in Finance system for each employer to track employer cashflows in line with actuarial requirements for Valuation and FRS17/IAS19 reporting requirements. Also enables ability to see trends in contributions collected •Pension Administration strategy in place from January 2021 clearly outlining ability to charge employers for late payment, late receipt of remittance advice or poor quality of data. Late payment charges are now being administered as a deterrent and to cover the impact on the Fund for late payment. This strategy was refreshed in February 2023 •Implementation of i-Connect is improving the quality of contribution data received to better aid reconciliation of payments and drill into the accuracy of employers' contribution payments, however functionality is still being improved by the software provider •Report produced for Pensions Board meetings to highlight any late payment of contributions and Employer engagement actions from February 2021 •Covenant review undertaken helps identify employers most likely to have financial difficulties. Engagement with those posing most risk is ongoing •Triennial valuation process aims to stabilise contribution rates where possible and senior management involved in detailed discussions on funding assumptions. Triennial Valuation complete for 2022 and new rates set for April 2023 onwards. LGPS31 forms issued to all employers with new rates. •Guide to Employers on implications of Pensions on Outsourcing published and issued to all employers •Contribution deferral policy approved by Committee in June 2020 •Deferred debt and debt spreading policies approved in June 2023 •Employer engagement team are confirming the correct signatories for contribution submissions to ensure they are signed off at an appropriate management level •Regular communication with Employers through Employer engagement team •Cash Management covered by internal audit in 2022/23 year looking at contribution collection and cash management strategy •Cash Management and Contribution rate collection both identified in the 2023/24 internal audit programme for further investigation 	4	Head of Pensions
E2	Employer data Employers fail to provide accurate and timely data to the PAT team	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Pension Administration Strategy approved in operation from January 2021 and refreshed in February 2023 with consultation with employers April 2023 •Employing authorities are contacted for outstanding/accurate information •User Guide and Training provided to Employers for outsourcing implications with LGPS •Regular communication and meeting with administration services regarding service updates and additional data, when required •Employer engagement team established from January 2021 to support employers and provide training where required •Issuance of a quarterly employer newsletter to support employers in their understanding of current pensions issues and activity for the Pension Fund •A data cleansing plan was completed in June 2020 lead by Hymans. The PAT look at Data Improvement as part of BAU and is a regular item on the Administration working group. Data is also cleansed where appropriate as part of other projects •Introduction of i-Connect system will limit employer ability to submit incorrect data. Data is received monthly rather than annually to allow for regular cleansing and discussion with employers •Meetings held between senior pensions Management team and employers where there are current or historic data concerns •As part of the lead into the 2022 triennial valuation data cleansing and challenge was conducted by the Actuary with PAT to ensure the integrity of data 	9	Head of Pensions

E3	Employer Covenant Delay in employers agreeing Admission Agreement, risk of insufficient security	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Full suite of admission agreements in place to ensure the Fund can provide comprehensive admission agreements at the outset of negotiations in line with the risk sharing arrangements agreed with the letting employer. New templates have been developed for pooling rate. Fixed rate template and Bond template in place. These templates are shared with the employers early in the process to speed up the agreeing of new admission agreements •The Fund will consider moving to a template portal which will automatically populate the variable data in the admission agreement ensuring no addition terms are changed and provides assurance from external legal term that the agreement is comprehensive and enforceable. However, the functionality is not yet sufficient to make the processes easier for letting employers and contractors •Guide to outsourcing is publicly available and distributed to all employers with coverage in both Employer forum in November 2020 and 2021. This guide directs employers of all the activities and considerations they need to take on any outsourcing arrangement with TUPE staff implications. New employers are given a copy as part of Admission Agreement process •Officers meet regularly to review status and movement on each in progress admission and an update is provided at team meetings monthly to ensure the admission is complete and effective at all stages •A new data flow and process map has been written to ensure officers request and communicate all the required information in a timely manner and on execution of the agreements data is required in line with the Administration strategy •Admissions in progress are reported quarterly to Board and Committee to ensure awareness of status •Security obtained for new admissions in form of bond or a guarantee from an appropriate body which has the means to support the guarantee 	6	Head of Pensions
Administration					
A1	Pensions service Delivery The scheme is not administered correctly resulting in the wrong benefits being paid or benefits not being paid, including the result of poor data	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •The PAT team is an in-house provision since December 2020 and enables the management team to have complete control over service delivery •Annual internal audit report on the administration of pensions including regular reporting and monitoring of "red" recommendations to ensure the service is acting in line with best practice. The Fund has received reasonable assurance since bring inhouse •Quarterly Reports to Pension Board and Committee on areas of work and KPIs •New service level KPI's now reportable within the Administration software •Awareness of the Pension Regulator Guidance by all team members, with training provided at team meetings or through provision of courses •Task workflow is managed by the Senior Pension Officers to all PAT staff and helpdesk add all tasks to the workflow system, to ensure all tasks completed as planned and to a high standard. PAT staff also add tasks as appropriate. •Checklists in place and all activity impacting members recorded on member records for other teams members to access •All tasks are peer reviewed. Constant monitoring / checking by team managers and senior officers for more junior staff members •In house risk logs covering projects •SAP / Altair reconciliation monthly to ensure pension payment records complete and correct *Most calculations automated to reduce manual error risk •Task management systems built into Altair to ensure activity is completed and monitored •Regular meetings with payroll, HR, ICT and PAT •Pensions Admin working group in place to discuss service delivery issues on a regular basis •Pensions Admin Team has skills matrix to identify training needs for particular processes 	6	Head of Pensions Administration
A2	Regulatory Change Risk that new benefit structures can not be set up correctly or in time	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Projects and/or working groups in place to deal with current regulatorily benefit changes •Attendance at networks and officer groups to stay on top of upcoming changes in regulation •Reports to Pension Board and Committee to ensure knowledge is shared to decision makers •Oversight via Pension Admin Working Group 	6	Head of Pensions Administration

A3	Production of Statutory member returns Risk of failure to produce ABS, annual allowance and event reports	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Regular contact with employers to get data •Clear project plan with early communications and planning with milestones to ensure Statements created in time to allow time for distribution to staff •Roll out of I-Connect for employer roll out as monthly interfaces system, to ease year end requirements and correct errors throughout the year. Currently many leavers are not being notified until year-end. This will also cleanse data relating to Annual Allowance •Structure of Pensions team includes Employer Engagement team to support Pensions Administration Team with end of year returns liaising and supporting employers through the process •Breaches policy in place and Breach reporting to Committee and Board quarterly to raise and consider breach reporting levels 	6	Head of Pensions Administration
A5	Transfer Scams Failure to comply with CETV anti scam checks	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Process in place for making checks required by law and/or recommended by TPR. Appropriate training to be identified and offered to staff to build understanding of risk and appropriate mitigations •Process mapping process has taken place to ensure transfers are fully documented with clear guidance to staff in carrying out this activity •Member informed of "red flags" identified •Scorpion campaign material provided to members seeking a CETV •Quality assurance checks ensure appropriate checks carried out 	2	Head of Pensions Administration
A7	MBOS Project Failure to deliver the new ERP system to effectively deliver for Pension Fund accounting and payroll requirements	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Officers are part of the project roll out and involved in testing. Needs of the Pension Fund are therefore being considered •Officers produced process mapping for all functions within the existing finance system •A specific stream of planning has been identified in the project for the interface with Altair •S151 officer on the programme board and will make go/no go decision •Heywood's paid to produce a scheme specific payroll data output report for transfer to Oracle 	6	Head of Pensions
Governance					
G1	Key Person risk Risk of loss of key / senior staff resulting in lost knowledge and skills with in the Pensions Team	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Diversified staff / team •Attendance at pension officers' user groups to network and exchange information •Procedural notes which include new systems, section meetings / appraisals •Succession planning within team structure, building from within the team •Robust business continuity processes in place around key business processes, including a disaster recovery plan •Knowledge of all tasks shared by at least two team members within PAT and in addition can be covered by senior staff in all areas •Training requirements are set out in training strategy, job descriptions and reviewed prior to recruitment processes •Training officer post within team structure since 2021 •Training strategy in place and regularly reviewed with training log where required •Recruitment project to fill to vacant positions coming to an end with nearly all posts now filled •Utilisation of apprenticeships allow for bring new staff into to train in advance of vacancies •Team responsibilities being reviewed to ensure appropriate coverage of workstreams 	6	Head of Pensions / Head of Pensions Administration
G2	Committee / Board Member Lack of decision making/functionality caused by loss of Pension Committee/Pension Board members or insufficient knowledge and skills of members	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Record kept of terms of Office •Pension Board terms of Office staggered •Vice Chairs in place to cover chair absence •Officers aware of election cycles and request for officers as a preference over elected members is communicated to employers •Robust Terms of reference in place that is clear and comprehensive •Training plans in place for new members to build knowledge to required levels 	6	Head of Pensions

G3	Cyber Security Risk of Loss of data or systems breaches through cyber attacks	16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ICT defence - in-depth approach. • Utilising firewalls, passwords and ICT control procedures including system access and account deletion protocols. Network activity is monitored to identify security threats. • Email and content scanners • Using anti-malware which is regularly updated, together with other protective software • ICT performs penetration and security tests on regular basis • Encryption used on all data transfers • Service level agreement with termination clause • Regular reports SAS 70/AAF0106 • Industry leaders providing services to the fund with data protection and cyber defence systems • Risk assessment completed with all new contracts with data transfer and new associated systems including penetration testing at outset • Pensions Team specific BCP in development • Information security report no material vulnerabilities. Recommendations to be implemented. • Cyber training is provided to all staff around techniques and methods used to launch cyber attacks 	12	Head of Pensions
G4	Governance and Compliance Inadequate governance arrangements and controls to discharge powers & duties	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Training strategy in place which covers Pension Committee, Pensions Board and officers • 100 days of internal audit commissioned for each calendar year to 2022/23 and 75 days from 2023/24 with regular reporting from IA to committee and board, including areas Governance and Compliance • External auditor provides audit plan at planning stage for each financial year and this is discussed by Audit committee as well as Pension Committee and Board • Investment regulations require proper advice • Procurement processes in place to ensure quality within replacement advisers • Review carried out against TPR COP14 requirements to identify any governance gaps • Specialist legal advisers and governance advisers to provide clear and accurate advice to the Fund on point of law or regulation • Publication of annual Governance and Compliance Statement explaining governance arrangements and reviewed and approved by Board / Committee • Training coordinator appointed. This officer liaises with chair of Pension Board and Committee to identify training needs • Working groups in place, with own terms of reference, which report findings to full Board and Committee • Governance of meetings supported by Democratic Services • Governance structures held within ESCC constitution • Conflict of interest policy in place 	3	Head of Pensions
G5	Data Breach Failure to comply with General Data Protection Regulations and Disclosure Regulations	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contracts with external parties where there is a data role have clear terms and conditions as part of the data processing agreements • Data Impact assessment is carried out on all new tenders where data is involved • DPO is in place via ESCC • Privacy notice is on the website - the privacy statements have been refreshed each year • Memorandum of Understanding in place with employers within the fund • All staff are required to complete an information governance course on joining the Council and this is refreshed annually • Information governance Internal audit completed in Q4 2020/21 with a reasonable assurance level and all recommendations were completed • Pensions Manager for Governance and Compliance completed review on GDPR in Q4 2020/21 resulting in a newly designed webpage, new privacy notices and change to the retention period 	4	Head of Pensions
G6	Fraud Internal and External fraud risk	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Quarterly review of log in credentials • Senior officers have sight of bank account • Senior officers are signatories to bank account • Multiple sign off needed to make payment, with appropriate seniority levels • Mortality checks, Tell us once and NFI data • Contract in place with a third party to support with mortality and address training • Journals over £1m have to be signed off by Head of Pensions 	2	Head of Pensions

Investment/Funding					
I1	Funding risk - poor investment returns Risk that investment strategy fails to result in performance required to meet the needs of the Funding strategy discount rate	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Strategy is supported by expert Investment consultants. Challenge to Consultants through Independent Adviser •Triennial valuation ensures funding position is known and contribution rates are stabilised •Quarterly Performance monitoring, investment manager monitoring from consultants and Link for ACCESS sub funds. Officers have a rolling programme to meet and challenge investment managers •Annual Investment Strategy Review, with interim rebalancing •Quarterly Reporting to Pensions Committee, with decisions approved by committee, including Fund Manager performance •Training strategy in place to ensure officers and committee members have sufficient knowledge and skills to implement and change the investment strategy •Investment decisions are made in compliance with the ISS/FSS •All investment decisions made, based on proper advice •Diversified strategy to reduce correlation of manager volatility •Changes to investment strategy are discussed with the actuary to ensure anticipated implications on funding aligned •Revision of the Asset Liability Model to support a viable Strategic Asset Allocation for the new valuation 	4	Head of Pensions
I3	Regulatory risk Failure to comply with regulations, legislation and guidance from an accounting and investment perspective	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Pensions Officers are kept up to date with changes to legislative requirements via network meetings, professional press, training and internal communication procedures •Pension Fund financial management and administration processes are maintained in accordance with the CIPFA Code of Practice, International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), and the ESSC Financial Regulations •Regular reconciliations are carried out between in-house records and those maintained by the custodian and investment managers •Internal Audits - carried out in line with the Pension Audit strategy •External Audit review the Pension Fund's accounts annually •Specialist legal advisers to provide clear and accurate advice to the Fund on point of law or regulation •Breaches policy in place to ensure breaches mapped and reported 	2	Head of Pensions
I4	Investment Pooling Inability to comply with government direction on pooling, insufficient sub funds to implement investment strategy, poor management of the pool	16	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •ACCESS Support Unit team provide support to the pool •Operator contract provided by Weyland for assets held within the ACS. Operator novation imminent. •The ACCESS Contracts Manager will monitor Weyland's progress closely. If Link cannot resolve issues in a reasonable timeframe, then alternative options may be considered, e.g. Funds may continue to hold the sub fund outside the ACS •KPI's introduced within revised operator agreements •Consultants involved in analysing the creation of sub-funds and transitioning of assets into the pool, under a variety of scenarios •Opportunities to transfer securities in 'specie'. Reducing cost on transition •Transition manager in place to preserving asset values, managing risk and project managing the transition process to ensure that costs are monitored and controlled •Due Diligence completed by legal advisers to ensure no hidden costs or governance issues not known at time of decision to invest •S151, chair of pension committee and monitoring officer representation on respective committees, working groups or distributions to ensure ESPF involved in all decisions and concerns and questions can be raised early in processes •Regular meetings between officers and ACCESS pool with officers on a number of working groups to ensure involvement in decision making •ACCESS governance review completed •ACCESS have secured a stewardship consultant to support development in RI activities for the pool •Illiquid assets are in progress for pooling •Fund has responded to key government consultations to ensure its view is shared with policy makers on recommended future changes in pooling. 	9	Head of Pensions

15	Funding risk - higher inflation Risk of inflation leading to increased liabilities, lower asset returns and a funding gap	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Investment strategy include weighting to index linked gilts, infrastructure and real estate which are all inflation correlated to mitigate increases in liabilities from inflation •Potential to further increase infrastructure weightings •Fund monitor portfolio sensitivity to inflation via expert investment consultants •Triennial Valuation assumptions include local knowledge of the Administering authority on anticipated pay inflation •Flexibility in the DGF mandates to react to the market and adapt the investment portfolio •Report received in Feb 22 on inflation possibilities with possible actions to take in the medium term. To be considered as part of the strategy review day •Quarterly monitoring of funding position helps identify risk early •2022 Triennial Valuation completed - inflation models used to estimate the average inflation across a 20 year time horizon, including consideration of the current high inflation environment. Index linked gilt triggers introduced to benefit from market opportunities which provide alignment with changing liabilities 	6	Head of Pensions
16	Environmental, Social and Governance Risk of ESG factors within Investment strategy not being properly considered affecting underlying holdings and implementations of investment decisions	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Statement of Responsible Investment Principles outline responsible investment beliefs, implementation of decisions and monitoring of ESG factors •ESG is in the heart of all investment decisions and not a separate function or workign group •The Fund has trimmed unconscious exposure to companies with poor ESG rating through removal of traditional index funds ensuring active managers have a strong conviction in the underlying companies including on ESG matters and less traditional passive indexes / smart beta funds have robust screening processes in place to ensure ESG principles are taken into account •Tracking of the portfolio as underweight in fossil fuel exposure to benchmarks •Production of annual reports on the carbon footprint of the Fund and review of managers from ESG perspective including transition pathway of underlying companies •2020 Stewardship code submission approved in February 2023 for the 2021 reporting year •Membership of collaborative groups to help drive policy change •Officers challenge managers on their holdings with regard ESG issues and query voting decisions. •Annual ESG impact assessment for all managers, including improvement actions on ESG methodology, reporting or collaboration. •Engaging via managers and investor groups including LAPFF with companies and driving them forward to comply with key ESG concerns using the greater voice by combined investment power •ESG factors incorporated into all decision making 	6	Head of Pensions

17	Climate change Risk to assets and liabilities associated with Climate Change	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Statement of Responsible Investment Principles (SRIP) outlines investment beliefs including Climate Risk. The Fund take the SRIP into account for implementation of decisions and monitoring of investment managers, carbon emissions and climate risk to the Fund •Restructuring of the equity portfolio removed structural exposure to fossil fuel companies to avoid high risk companies from a climate perspective and minimises stranded asst risk from direct holdings in underlying portfolios. •The Fund are able to exploit opportunities from the low energy transition by investing in climate impact funds and resource efficient companies •The Fund has trimmed unconscious exposure to companies with high Carbon emission, poor energy transition plans and or fossil fuel companies, through removal of traditional index funds •Member of Institutional Investors group on climate change (IIGCC), the Fund also expects its managers to be IIGCC members •The Fund carries out annual carbon foot printing to better understand the carbon exposure and energy transition plans within the portfolio. Additionally, the Fund carries out ESG impact assessment of all investment managers which includes a climate score. •Signatory to UN PRI •Report in line with the TCFD farmework •The Fund is investigating climate scenario modelling which will help better understand this risk and allow further consider approaches in tackling these risks •Where exposed to fossil fuels, the Fund uses its vote to drive engagement and improved practices. A number of Fund managers are Climate 100+ engagement partners, leading on this work with top emitting companies, while all managers are IIGCC members for collaborate weighting of AUM to influence action. Managers have escalation plans for when engagement is not effective which includes disinvesting from the high carbon or fossil fuel company. •Focus on Climate change through training to committee and officers •Focus on Climate Change in decision making and strategy changes •Immaterial impact to the Fund value from direct exposure to fossil fuel companies in the instance of carbon taxes, valuation falls or stranded assets due to the underweight, very low exposure to this sector and no structural allocation of these companies. 	6	Head of Pensions
18	Liquidity Insufficient cash to pay benefits as they fall due	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Contributions monitored on monthly basis •Monitoring of members close to retirement •Daily cash position monitored •Distributing investments to ensure stream of income from investment activity •Income from investments is considered as a key risk in all investment strategy decisions and the income profile managed •Liaison between administration and investment team on cash requirements •Cash Management internal audit completed in Q3 2022/23 and will be picked up in the 2023/24 IA plan for further review 	4	Head of Pensions
19	Money purchase AVC Inadequate offering for the scheme members on cost, return and/or risk grounds	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •A range of fund options provided, catering for different levels of member risk and return so they can design investment strategy for own circumstances •Continuing suitability of AVC offering is reviewed regularly 	2	Head of Pensions

Risk Register Risk Scores

The risk scores are calculated using the risk matrix below:

90-100%	This week	Very High	LIKELIHOOD	5	5	10	15	20
60-90%	This Month	High		4	4	8	12	16
40-60%	This year	Medium		3	3	6	9	12
10-40%	Next 5 years	Low		2	2	4	6	8
0-10%	Next 10 years	Very Low		1	1	2	3	4
				1	2	3	4	
				IMPACT				
				Negligable No noticeable impact	Minor Minor impact, Some degradation of service	Major Significant impact, disruption to core services	Critical Disastrous impact, Catastrophic failure	
SERVICE DELIVERY	Handled within normal day-today routines.			Management action required to overcome short-term difficulties.		Key targets missed. Some services compromised.		Prolonged interruption to core service. Failure of key Strategic Project
FINANCAL	Little loss anticipated.			Some costs incurred. Handled within management responsibilities.		Significant costs incurred. Service level budgets exceeded.		Severe costs incurred. Statutory intervention triggered.
REPUTATION	Little or no publicity. Little staff comments.			Limited local publicity. Mainly within local government community. Causes staff concern.		Local media interest. Comment from external inspection agencies. Noticeable impact on public opinion.		National media interest seriously affecting public opinion